

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,213	11/25/2003	Kris Senecal	NA-1174 D1	7033
75	90 04/27/2006		EXAM	INER
U.S. Army Soldier and Biological			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
Chemical Common Kansas Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AMSSB-OCC(N)			1771	
Natick, MA 01760			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/722,213	SENECAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected. 					
7) Claim(s) 10,11,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r clastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>21406</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,213 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 14, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9 and 12-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
 - a. Independent claim 9 has been amended to further limit the polymers of the matrix polymeric material and the polymers for the conductive polymer.

The Examiner now relies on the prior art of RODRIGUEZ '499 in view of KINLEN et al. '930 (cited in previous office action), to address the present claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RODRIGUEZ et al. (US 5,972,499) in view of KINLEN et al. (US 5,911,930).

Art Unit: 1771

RODRIGUEZ et al. discloses materials that include a bicomponent fiber, made of a nonconductive component including a first fiber forming polymer, a conductive second component, including carbon particles and a second fiber-forming polymer such as cellulose acetate, polyacrylonitrile; and a conductive third component, including a polymer selected from the group consisting of polypyrrole and polyaniline. (Abstract) The reference teaches that the invention is not only limited to fibers, but also other formed polymer articles such as fabrics and plastic sheets, and the like. The reference further teaches that the conductive polymer blend should be in solution, in dispersion in water or a solvent. (Col. 5, lines 1-12) The Examiner gives a broad definition to the term "textile" of the reference to include nonwoven fabrics. reference teaches that the conductive polymeric fiber have a resistivity from about 101 to 104 ohms per square. (Col. 3, lines 45-47) The reference defines the term "conductive" as having a surface resistivity between 10⁰-10¹¹ ohms per square; a "highly conductive" material having a resistivity between 10⁰-10⁴ ohms per square. (Col. 1, lines 18-21) Therefore, it is the Examiner's interpretation that the materials taught by the reference provide the claimed electrical conductivity. [1 ohm = 1.113 x 10^{-12} s/cm] If for example, the material has a resistivity of 10^4 ohms, then it has a conductivity of 1.113 x 10⁻² s/cm. Based on the process of making the material taught by the reference, it is the Examiner's interpretation that the carbon particles are embedded in the fibers of the invention. With regards to the carbon particles claimed herein as nanoparticles, it is noted that the Specification of the present invention does not provide a range of values that define the term, therefore, the Examiner gives a broad interpretation and interprets the carbon particles of the prior art to read on such limitation. It is further noted that the individual filament deniers are in the range of 0.5 denier/filament up to 30 denier/filament. (Col. Art Unit: 1771

4, lines 50-51) It is noted that the fibers denier range taught by the reference includes microfibers that comprise the diameters claimed herein.

However RODRIGUEZ fails to teach that the conductive polymer is selected from the group consisting of polythiophene, polyphenol, polyacetylene and polyphenylene.

KINLEN et al. is directed to a fiber containing organic salt of an intrinsically conductive polymer distributed throughout a matrix polymer along with a method for providing such fibers by spinning a solution which includes an organic acid salt of an intrinsically conductive polymer, a matrix polymer and a spinning solvent. (Abstract) The reference teaches that the fibers of their invention have mechanical properties suitable for use in forming textile materials, i.e., fabrics made from fibers. (Col. 3, lines 9-13) The reference teaches that by intrinsically conductive polymer it is intended to include any polymer that has an electrical conductivity greater than about 10⁻⁸ S/cm. The reference teaches that **polyaniline**, **polyacetylene**, **polyphenylene**, **polypyrrole**, and **polythiophene** are conjugated organic polymers known in the art as intrinsically conductive polymers. (Col. 4, lines 41-53) It is further noted that the reference teaches the use of cellulose acetate and polyurethanes as some of the suitable matrix polymers. (Refer to Col. 7, lines 35-42)

RODRIGUEZ discloses the claimed invention except that it uses polypyrrole or polyaniline as the conductive polymer instead of polythiophene, polyphenol, polyacetylene or polyphenylene, KINLEN shows that polythiophene, polyphenol, polyacetylene and polyphenylene are equivalent polymers known in the art. Therefore, because these materials were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 1771

art would have found it obvious to substitute polythiophene, polyphenol, polyacetylene or polyphenylene for polypyrrole or polyaniline.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15-16 and 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach a polymer membrane article of the present invention that particularly includes the chemical indicator dyes (in a dimethyl formamide solution of polyurethane) or the photo-reactive dyes of the present invention in the claimed matrix polymeric material.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,213 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

April 25, 2006